

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
1 May 2003 (01.05.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 03/035551 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: C01D 3/16, 3/06, C25B 1/26
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/EP02/07688
- (22) International Filing Date: 10 July 2002 (10.07.2002)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
60/313,756 21 August 2001 (21.08.2001) US
- (71) Applicant: AKZO NOBEL N.V. [NL/NL]; Velperweg 76, NL-6824 BM Arnhem (NL).
- (72) Inventors: MAYER, Mateo, Jozef, Jacques; Kerkstraat 50, NL-6987 AD Giesbeek (NL). DEMMER, René, Lodewijk, Maria; Werfstraat 16, NL-7468 GG Enter (NL).
- (74) Agent: SCHALKWIJK, Pieter, Cornelis; Akzo Nobel N.V., Intellectual Property Dept. (Dept. AIP), P.O. Box 9300, NL-6800 SB Arnhem (NL).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:  
— with international search report
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*



WO 03/035551 A1

(54) Title: CO-RETARDING AGENTS FOR PREPARING PURIFIED BRINE

(57) Abstract: The invention relates to a process to produce brine of improved purity by dissolving salt that contains a calcium sulfate source in water, in the presence of a retarding agent, while using one or more co-retardants to bind contaminants that could interfere with the retarding agents.

## CO-RETARDING AGENTS FOR PREPARING PURIFIED BRINE

The present invention relates to a process for producing high purity brine by  
5 dissolution of a salt source containing alkaline (earth) impurities and the  
production of high quality salt from said brine.

Much of today's salt (essentially NaCl) is produced by means of evaporative  
processes wherein salt is crystallized from brine. The use of high purity brine  
10 has various advantages in such a process.

Said brine is typically obtained by solution mining of rock salt deposits. Rock  
salt, mainly originating from maritime sedimentation, contains alkaline-earth  
metal (like Ca, Mg and Sr) and potassium salts as the most important  
impurities. Sulfate, chloride and bromide are typical counter-ions. Together with  
15 the sulfate ion, calcium will be present as the rather insoluble  $\text{CaSO}_4$   
(anhydrite) or/and as polyhalite ( $\text{K}_2\text{MgCa}_2(\text{SO}_4)_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ).

The total amount of calcium and sulfate in rock salt deposits depends on the  
deposit itself, but, for example, may also vary with the depth at which the salt is  
20 mined. Calcium is typically present in an amount from 0.5 to 6 gram per  
kilogram and sulfate from 0.5 to 16 gram per kilogram. Solution mining is a  
technique with which well soluble salts can be mined at special spots in a  
deposit. The advantage of this method is that poorly soluble impurities, like  
anhydrite ( $\text{CaSO}_4$ ) and gypsum ( $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), will remain partly in the cavern  
25 being exploited. The resulting brine, however, can be saturated with these  
undesired impurities. Without any treatment the alkaline (earth) impurities in  
raw brine, obtained from any of the mentioned sources, will cause severe  
incrustations in the heating tubes of a vacuum crystallizer of NaCl. Hardly  
removable calcium sulfate in several appearances will block the tubes and  
30 frustrate the heat transfer. Inter alia, contamination of the resulting salt and

poor energy efficiency of the process will be the consequence.

High purity brine is also of interest for processes wherein salt solutions are used as a raw material, such as in the chemical transformation industry, e.g. the chlorine and chlorate industry. Especially the conversion from mercury and diaphragm technology to the more environmentally acceptable membrane technology triggered the demand for high purity brine. The brine for use in these processes is typically obtained by dissolution of a salt source, which can be rock salt, salt from evaporative processes as described above, and/or solar salt, including lake or sea salt. It is noted that sea salt typically contains less than 3 g/kg of  $\text{CaSO}_4$  due to the fact that the  $\text{CaSO}_4$  is typically present in the form of gypsum with just a limited solubility.

The use of higher purity brine was found to be of interest for this industry because it allows a better energy efficiency as well as the formation of less waste. Also the products resulting from the chemical transformation industry can be of higher quality if brine with high purity is used to make them.

Accordingly, there have been many efforts to improve the quality of brine. A first solution was to use high purity salt, which was dissolved to make such brine. Such high purity salt can be obtained by preventing calcium sulfate from crystallizing in the salt production process by adding specific seeds or by applying a scaling inhibitor. US 3,155,458, for instance, discloses to add starch phosphate to the brine in the evaporative crystallization process. It is said that the starch phosphate enhances the solubility of the  $\text{CaSO}_4$ , and thus prevents the scaling and allows production of salt with high purity and low  $\text{CaSO}_4$  content.

However, such a process requires the undesired bleed of a  $\text{CaSO}_4$ -rich stream from the crystallization process, and also requires that the brine is essentially bicarbonate-free.

Another solution is to remove impurities from the raw brine by a chemical treatment of said brine. An example of such a treatment is given in the already more than 100 years old Kaiserliches Patentamt DE-115677, wherein hydrated  
5 lime is used to precipitated magnesium hydroxide and gypsum from the raw brine.

In addition to, or instead of, these methods, there have also been efforts to increase the purity of the brine by reducing the amount of impurities, such as  
10 the above-mentioned anhydrite, gypsum, and polyhalite (and/or their strontium analogues), that dissolve into said brine. This is typically done by adding certain agents to the water that is used in the process, or by mixing such agents with the salt source before adding water (especially for solar salt dissolvers). Hereinafter, such conventional agents are called "retarding agents".

15 DD-115341 discloses that brine, particularly for use in processes to make soda ash, with a reduced amount of  $\text{CaSO}_4$  and  $\text{MgSO}_4$  can be obtained by adding calcium lignin sulfonate to the water that is used to produce the brine solution. The addition of calcium lignin sulfonate allegedly lowers the solubility of the  $\text{CaSO}_4$  and  $\text{MgSO}_4$ .

20 US 2,906,599 discloses to use a group of phosphates, denominated "polyphosphates", including hexametaphosphates, to reduce the dissolution rate of calcium sulfate (anhydrite), leading to brine with reduced sulfate and calcium ions. At lower concentration (i.e. up to 50 ppm in the brine) hexametaphosphates were found to be the most effective agent, sodium  
25 hexametaphosphate being the preferred retarding agent.

Another type of retarding agent is being marketed by Jamestown Chemical Company Inc. under the name (Sulfate Solubility Inhibitor) SSI® 200. According to the material safety data sheet the material contains dodecylbenzene sulfonic acid, sulfuric acid and phosphoric acid.

30 Furthermore, non-prepublished European Patent application 01202339.6

discloses the use of a specific combination of compounds resulting in a reduction of the level of contaminants, particularly calcium sulfate, in brine obtained by dissolution of a salt source.

- 5 It was observed that the effectiveness of conventional retarding agents, or combination of retarding agents, varied from one dissolution process to the other. Upon closer investigation, it was observed that the presence of contaminants in the water that is used in the dissolution process had a distinct influence on the amount of alkaline-earth metal and potassium salt impurities.

10

After extensive research efforts, it was found that in particular the presence of clay minerals, humic acids or derivatives thereof, microorganisms or cell material originating from microorganisms, and lignin-containing organic materials in the water caused undesired variations in the retarding effect.

- 15 Hence, the present invention relates to ways to remove and/or inactivate these contaminants of the water.

Accordingly, the invention relates to a process to make brine, by dissolving a salt source comprising a source of alkaline-earth metal sulfate in water, in the presence of at least one conventional retarding agent to reduce the amount of alkaline-earth metal sulfate dissolved in said brine, wherein at least one co-retardant is used prior and/or during the dissolution step in an amount that effectively binds at least part of the contaminants of the water.

- 25 The word "co-retardant" as used throughout this document is meant to denominate any conventional compound, or mixture of compounds, that is effective in binding the contaminants in the water that interfere in the process wherein the retarding agent binds to the source of alkaline-earth metal sulfate that is present in the salt. With "effective in binding" is meant that the efficiency of the retarding agent is improved with at least 5% when the water that is used,
- 30

in the test as described below, is first treated with the co-retarding agent. Since the contaminants were found to particularly include clay minerals and lignin-containing organic materials, preferred co-retardants according to the invention comprise any products that are able to adsorb said clay minerals and lignin-containing organic materials. Preferably, the co-retardant does not, or very little, influence the effect of the retarding agent in the dissolution process. Typically, it will make sense to use the co-retardants in accordance with the present invention, when the efficiency of the retarding agent (or agents), in the absence of co-retardant, is 5% lower when the process-water is used, compared to the same test wherein demineralized water is used.

The co-retardant can be used in a pretreatment step wherein the water is combined with the co-retardant so that the contaminants are absorbed in or adsorbed onto the co-retardant. If so desired, the co-retardant can be recycled. However, in a preferred embodiment of the invention the co-retardant is used in a sacrificial way, meaning that the co-retardant and any contaminants combined therewith settle from the aqueous phase and are deposited in a suitable location. In subsequent steps the retarding agent can be added to the so-treated water and the salt source dissolved. In a more preferred embodiment, however, both retardant and co-retardant are added to the water, which combination is then used to dissolve the salt source. In a most preferred embodiment the retardant and the co-retardant are added to the water and the resulting solution is subsequently injected into a salt cavern, while a brine is removed at the same time or after a certain dissolution time. In said cavern the combination of co-retardant and contaminants are typically deposited at the bottom together with any combination that was formed from the retarding agent and alkaline (earth) impurities that were present in the salt source.

If the water that is used in the dissolution process of the invention originates from a (biological) water-treatment facility, e.g. a water treatment facility using

activated sludge, it will typically contain microorganisms and/or cell material of such organisms. In that case, a preferred embodiment of the invention relates to a process wherein at least part of a conventional flocculant is added to the water during or after the treatment in said facility and wherein the flocculant is  
5 used in an amount sufficient to trap essentially all the contaminants of the water that interfere with the retarding agent.

Suitable co-retardants include suitable flocculants, lignosulfonate polymers, (graft)copolymers of lignosulfonate and acrylic acid, polyacrylic acid, and  
10 biopolymers, such as polysaccharides, modified starch, and polyacrylamides. Preferred are conventional flocculants, (graft)copolymers of lignosulfonate and polyacrylic acid. Most preferably the co-retardant that is used is a food-approved product.

15 It is noted that the term "salt" as used throughout this document is meant to denominate all salts of which more than 25% by weight is NaCl. Preferably, such salt contains more than 50% by weight of NaCl. More preferably, the salt contains more than 75% by weight of NaCl, while a salt containing more than 90% by weight NaCl is most preferred. The salt may be solar salt (salt obtained  
20 by evaporating water from brine using solar heat), rock salt, and/or subterranean salt deposits. Preferably it is a subterranean salt deposits being exploited by means of dissolution mining. Since the various sources of the salt render salt with different compositions, especially with respect to contaminants, one typically has to evaluate the performance of the retarding agents to  
25 optimize their effect.

It was found that the so-obtained high purity brine could be used without further purification in both evaporative salt crystallization and the chemical transformation industry, such as mercury, diaphragm, membrane, or chlorate  
30 electrolysis processes. However, if so desired, the brine may be further purified

by means of a conventional purification step, such as a chemical treatment. Also, it was found that the use of scaling inhibitors and/or specific seeds in the evaporative crystallization technique, to prevent  $\text{CaSO}_4$  precipitation, is not required any longer. However, if so desired, the scaling inhibitors and/or  
5 specific seeds may be used in combination with the high purity brine of the present process, that is optionally further purified.

In a preferred embodiment, the invention relates to a process to make high purity brine from a salt source wherein anhydrite and/or polyhalite impurities are  
10 present as a source of alkaline-earth metal sulfate.

The performance of the combination of compounds as retarding agents, and whether or not the co-retardant interferes or is beneficial, is quickly and easily determined using the following dissolution test method. The salt source is  
15 crushed in order to obtain particles of 0.1 to 1.5 cm. A fresh stock solution of about 1000 mg/l retarding agent compound(s) is prepared and the desired amount of this stock solution (the amount to be evaluated) is added to a 1 liter beaker glass filled with such an amount of demineralized or process water that the total volume after adding the stock solution is 660 ml. A blank experiment,  
20 wherein no retarding compound is used, is conducted simultaneously. The beaker glass is stirred with a magnetic, Teflon coated, stir bar with a tapered round design and a size of 50x9 mm (as obtainable from Aldrich Cat. No. Z28,392-4) at 200 rpm and thermostatted at 20°C. To this solution, 300 g of the crushed salt source, e.g. a core sample from a drilling, is added and the mixture  
25 is continuously stirred at 200 rpm. After 1 hour, samples are taken of the brine. For this purpose, the magnetic stirrer is stopped and a desired amount of brine sample is taken and filtered over a 0.2 micron ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) filter. Subsequently, the filtered brine sample is analyzed on the amount of dissolved Ca, Mg, K, Sr, and/or  $\text{SO}_4$  ions.



In order to test the long term performance of the retarding agents, the test can be continued for several days, preferably more than 5 days. In order to prevent erosion of the salt source, the mixture is not stirred in this period, and samples are taken once a day. Prior to sampling, the mixture is stirred by hand for one  
5 minute, using a 4 mm thick glass rod, so that the aqueous phase is homogeneous.

The performance of the retarding agent is defined as the percentage that the concentration of the ions concerned is reduced as compared to the blank sample. If the action of a co-retardant is to be evaluated, the co-retardant is  
10 conveniently added to the process water before it is used in the test.

The performance of the retarding agent is preferably such that a retardation of the dissolution (in g/l) of at least one of the alkali metal ions, alkaline-earth metal ions, and/or sulfate ion is more than 20%, preferably more than 40, more  
15 preferably more than 50% and most preferably more than 70% is observed, when compared to the blank.

The amount of the co-retardant(s) that is to be used depends on the quality of the water to produce the brine, and the type of co-retardant(s) used. Generally,  
20 the total amount of co-retardant will be less than 0.2%, preferably less than 0.1%, more preferably less than 0.05% by weight of the water, while a concentration of less than 0.02% is most preferred. Typically, the co-retardant will be used in an amount greater than 0.1 mg/kg, preferably more than 1 mg/kg, and most preferably more than 5 mg/kg. Good results have been  
25 obtained at an addition level of 12-25 ppm, but the results have not been optimized yet.

The amount of the conventional retarding agents that is to be used depends on the quality of the salt source, the quality of the water to produce the brine, and  
30 the type of agents used. Generally, the amount for each retarding agent will be

less than 0.1%, preferably less than 0.05%, more preferably less than 0.02% by weight of the water, while a concentration of less than 0.01% of each of the compounds is most preferred. The retarding agents are preferably materials with a molecular weight up to 1000, more preferably up to 800, even more preferably up to 600, even more preferably up to 500, and most preferably up to 400 Dalton, since materials with higher molecular weights were found to be less effective retarding agents.

Conventional retarding agents are generally selected from phospholipides, hydrolyzed phospholipids, alkylbenzene sulfonates, whereof the alkyl groups can be linear or branched, phosphates, preferably polyphosphates, including alkali metal and ammonium polyphosphates that are water-soluble, ethoxylated compounds with one or more sulfite, sulfonate, sulfate, phosphite, phosphonate, phosphate, and/or carboxylgroups, and/or C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>40</sub> alkylgroups, preferably C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> alkylgroups, with one or more sulfite, sulfonate, sulfate, phosphite, phosphonate, phosphate, and/or carboxyl groups.

The term polyphosphate includes metaphosphates, such as hexametaphosphate (Na<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>, tripolyphosphates (Na<sub>5</sub>P<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>), tetraphosphates (Na<sub>6</sub>P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>), pyrophosphates, such as Na<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, as well as various other complex phosphates that are typically derived from orthophosphoric acid compounds by molecular dehydration, and mixtures of two or more of these phosphates.

The invention is elucidated in the following examples, which are not to be seen as limiting the invention.

Example 1 and Comparative Examples A-D

In the following, non optimized, examples, a core from a drilling near Delfzijl, the Netherlands, was used as the salt source.

	water	retarding agent	co-retardant	Ca		eff	SO <sub>4</sub>		eff
				t=0	t=2 days		t=0	t=2 days	
A	Demi	None	None	0.42	0.50	n.r.	1.87	2.35	nr
B	Demi	SSI 200	None	0.22	0.28	44	1.01	1.34	43
C	Surf	SSI 200	None	0.40	0.48	4	1.73	2.30	2
D	Surf	None	Ultra	0.52	0.60	0	2.16	2.74	0
1	Surf	SSI 200	Ultra	0.32	0.40	20	1.34	1.92	18

- 5 The retarding agent and co-retardant were used in an amount of 30 mg/l, based on the volume of all water used in the test (660 ml). The amount of ions as presented is expressed in g/l of the total solution that is finally obtained in the test. Comparative Example A is the blanc and the basis for the efficiency calculations.

10

eff = efficiency (%) after two days

n.r. = not relevant

Demi = demineralized water

Surf = surface water, taken from a water stream near Delfzijl, the Netherlands.

- 15 SSI 200 = commercial retarding agent ex Jamestown Chemical.

Ultra = Lignosulphonate copolymer Ultrazine®, supplied by Lignotech in Norway.

- 20 Clearly, the use of surface water adversely influenced the performance of the retarding agent. The use of the co-retardant significantly improved the efficiency of the retarding agent when the surface water was used.

Example 2 and Comparative Examples E-G

The previous example was repeated with a salt core sample that was obtained from a drilling near Hengelo, the Netherlands. The salt core contained clay minerals which, during dissolution, interfered with the performance of the conventional retarding agent.

	water	retarding agent	co-retardant	Ca		eff	SO <sub>4</sub>		eff
				t=0	t=4 days		t=0	t=4 days	
E	Demi	None	None	1.66	1.66	n.r.	4.13	4.13	nr
F	Demi	SSI 200	None	1.56	1.60	4	3.94	3.98	3
G	Demi	HMF	None	0.92	1.02	39	2.35	2.59	37
2	Demi	HMF	Ultra	0.82	0.92	45	2.16	2.16	43

eff = efficiency (%) after four days

n.r. = not relevant

Demi = demineralized water

10 SSI 200 = commercial retarding agent ex Jamestown Chemical, used in an amount of 30 mg/l, based on the volume of all water used in the test (660 ml).

HMF = sodium hexa metaphosphate as supplied by Vos, used in an amount of 60 mg/l, based on the volume of all water used in the test (660 ml).

15 Ultra = Lignosulphonate copolymer Ultrazine®; supplied by Lignotech in Norway, used in an amount of 30 mg/l, based on the volume of all water used in the test (660 ml).

The amount of ions as presented is expressed in g/l of the total solution that is finally obtained in the test.

20 Clearly the retarding efficiency of HMF is improved by using the co-retardant.

Examples 3 and Comparative Examples H-J

In these examples, a brine was produced in accordance with the test method as described. In Example 3 60 mg/l of HMF and 30 mg/l of a commercial flocculant (Synthofloc®), both based on the volume of all water used in the test  
5 (660 ml), was used. In Comparative Example H no retarding agent and no coretardant was used, in Comparative Example I 30 mg/l of sodium benzenesulphonate (a retarding agent) was used, and in Comparative Example J a combination of two retarding agents, viz 60 mg/l of HMF and 30 mg/l of sodium benzenesulphonate, both based on the volume of all water used in the  
10 test (660 ml), was used. After producing the brine, the stirrer was stopped and the clarity of the brine was evaluated after 30 minutes. The brine of Example 3 was clear, with residual salt and flocculated contaminants having settled, the brine of the other examples was still very turbid. This demonstrates that dissolution mining in subterranean caverns will be much improved if retarding  
15 and co-retarding agents are used in accordance with the invention.

Claims

1. Process to make brine, by dissolving a salt source comprising a source of alkaline-earth metal sulfate in water, in the presence of at least one conventional retarding agent to reduce the amount of alkaline-earth metal sulfate dissolved in said brine, wherein a co-retardant is used prior and/or during the dissolution step in an amount of from 0.1 mg/kg to 0.2 % by weight, based on the weight of the water.
2. Process according to claim 1, wherein the co-retardant is used in an amount of less than 0.1%, preferably less than 0.05%, more preferably less than 0.02% by weight of the water.
3. Process according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the co-retardant is selected from the group consisting of flocculants, lignosulfonate polymers, (graft)copolymers of lignosulfonate and acrylic acid, polyacrylic acid, polyacrylamides, and biopolymers, such as polysaccharides, and modified starch.
4. Process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the retarding agent is selected from the group consisting of:
  - phospholipides,
  - hydrolyzed phospholipids,
  - alkylbenzene sulfonates, whereof the alkyl groups can be linear or branched,
  - phosphates that are water-soluble,
  - ethoxylated compounds with one or more sulfite, sulfonate, sulfate, phosphite, phosphonate, phosphate, and/or carboxyl groups, and
  - C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>40</sub> alkyl compounds with one or more sulfite, sulfonate, sulfate, phosphite, phosphonate, phosphate, and/or carboxyl groups.

5. Brine obtainable by the process of any one of claims 1-4.
6. Use of the brine of claim 5, optionally after an additional purification step, in  
5 an electrolysis or evaporative crystallization process.
7. Use of the brine of claim 5 in a mercury, diaphragm, membrane, or chlorate  
electrolysis process.
- 10 8. Use of the brine of claim 5 in a membrane electrolysis process.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In **al** Application No  
PCT/EP 02/07688

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 C01D3/16 C01D3/06 C25B1/26		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 C01D C25B		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) WPI Data, EPO-Internal, PAJ, INSPEC, COMPENDEX, CHEM ABS Data		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2 902 418 A (BURNS CLAUDE A) 1 September 1959 (1959-09-01)	1,2,4-6
Y	claims 1-4 example 1; tables column 1, line 65 -column 2, line 21 ----	3,7,8
X	US 2 906 599 A (ROLAND CHARLES T) 29 September 1959 (1959-09-29)	1,2,4-6
Y	cited in the application claims 1,6,10-14 column 2, line 4-10 column 4, line 28-40 column 6, line 16-75; table 1 examples ----- -/--	3,7,8
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Patent family members are listed in annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents : *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *G* document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search  5 December 2002		Date of mailing of the international search report  13/12/2002
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax (+31-70) 340-3016		Authorized officer  Rhodes, K



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

I International Application No  
PCT/EP 02/07688

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y A	US 3 140 915 A (AXELRAD BERNARD A ET AL) 14 July 1964 (1964-07-14) claims 1-18 column 2, line 16 -column 3, line 21 examples -----	3 1,2,4,5
Y A	DD 115 341 A (KOCH H-D, ZÖLLNER H) 20 September 1975 (1975-09-20) cited in the application claims 1-4 the whole document -----	3 1,2,4,5
Y	US 4 963 235 A (BRATTAN KEITH ET AL) 16 October 1990 (1990-10-16) column 1, line 12-27 column 1, line 38-43 -----	7,8

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In International Application No

PCT/EP 02/07688

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2902418	A	01-09-1959	NONE	
US 2906599	A	29-09-1959	NONE	
US 3140915	A	14-07-1964	NONE	
DD 115341	A	20-09-1975	DD 115341 A1	20-09-1975
US 4963235	A	16-10-1990	AU 579831 B2	15-12-1988
			AU 3176584 A	21-02-1985
			BR 8404052 A	16-07-1985
			CA 1280714 A1	26-02-1991
			EP 0135314 A1	27-03-1985
			ES 8507629 A1	16-12-1985
			FI 843227 A ,B,	16-02-1985
			JP 60075592 A	27-04-1985
			MX 157239 A	07-11-1988
			NO 843212 A ,B,	18-02-1985
			SE 8404001 A	16-02-1985
			ZA 8406156 A	27-03-1985
			ZW 12584 A1	19-03-1986